



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,689	07/26/2001	Cary Lee Bates	ROC920010126US1	8233
7590 06/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Gero G. McClellan			ROSWELL, MICHAEL	
Thomason, Moser & Patterson, L.L.P.			ADTIBUT	DARED MUADED
Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3040 Post Oak Boulevard			2173	
Houston, TX 77056-6582			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		PLY
	Application	Applicant(s)
	09/915,689	BATES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Roswell	2173
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a specified above, the maximum states are to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>26 <i>July 2001</i></u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the a	ipplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	re withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9-15 and 20-23</u> is/are re	ejected.	
7) Claim(s) <u>3-8 and 16-19</u> is/are objected	ed to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 July 2001	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	cted to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage
application from the Internatio	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) L Interview	Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the brief descriptions of Figures 4 and 6 recite the terms "is a is an" and "is a is a", respectively. Furthermore ¶ 0022 appears under the "Brief Descriptions of the Drawings" section, and is seemingly more related to the invention summary.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

The word "then" in claims 5 and 16 should be changed to "than". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11 and 22 recite the limitation ""if the GUI cannot be positioned" in the first line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Dependent claims 12 and 23 are likewise rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be

Art Unit: 2173

patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 13-15, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carr (U.S. Patent 5,428,733) and Grillo et al (U.S. Patent 6,717,589), hereinafter Grillo.

Regarding claims 1, and 13, Carr teaches a method for positioning rectangular balloons. Balloons and dialog boxes are interpreted herein as being analogous display objects for user aid. Carr further teaches determining whether a graphical user interface (GUI) includes a display area at least equal to an area of the dialog box (taught as the calculation of balloon dimensions and proximity to a "sensitive" area, at col. 3, lines 28-34), absent of any objects (taught as the positioning of a balloon to avoid obscuring an adjacent associated icon or graphic object, at col. 7, lines 3-7), and displaying the dialog box in the display area (taught as the display of a properly sized balloon, at col. 3, lines 32-34).

Carr, however, fails to explicitly teach positioning of a non-modal dialog box (or balloon) to avoid obscuring hyperlinks.

Grillo teaches a method for displaying modal and non-modal help balloons in a network environment, such as a Web browser (col. 3, lines 3-10 and 21-26). It is well known in the art that hyperlinks may be represented on a browser as a graphical image or icon, as well as linked text.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Carr and Grillo before him at the time the invention was made to modify the balloon positioning method of Carr to include the modalities and network capabilities of Grillo in order to

Art Unit: 2173

obtain a method for positioning non-modal help balloons, or related non-modal display objects, which avoid sensitive areas such as hyperlinked images or icons.

One would be motivated to make such a combination for the advantage of allowing help balloons and boxes to avoid obscuring related images or icons in a network setting.

Regarding claims 2 and 14, Grillo teaches the display of Web content in a browser interface, taught as the use of a network browser for displaying web pages, at col. 5, lines 53-55.

Regarding claims 9, and 20, Carr teaches a method for positioning rectangular balloons. Balloons and dialog boxes are interpreted herein as being analogous display objects for user aid. Carr further teaches determining whether a graphical user interface (GUI) includes a display area at least equal to an area of the dialog box (taught as the calculation of balloon dimensions and proximity to a "sensitive" area, at col. 3, lines 28-34), absent of any objects or a least number of objects (taught as the positioning of a balloon to avoid obscuring an adjacent associated icon or graphic object, with zero obscured objects being a least number of obscured objects, at col. 7, lines 3-7), and displaying the dialog box in the display area (taught as the display of a properly sized balloon, at col. 3, lines 32-34).

Carr, however, fails to explicitly teach positioning of a non-modal dialog box (or balloon) to avoid obscuring hyperlinks.

Grillo teaches a method for displaying modal and non-modal help balloons in a network environment, such as a Web browser (col. 3, lines 3-10 and 21-26). It is well known in the art that hyperlinks may be represented on a browser as a graphical image or icon, as well as linked text. Furthermore, it is inherent in the art that a Web browser functions by processing a request

Art Unit: 2173

to receive content from a network address, parsing a response to the request, and rendering received content in a viewable manner.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Carr and Grillo before him at the time the invention was made to modify the balloon positioning method of Carr to include the modalities and network capabilities of Grillo in order to obtain a method for positioning non-modal help balloons, or related non-modal display objects, which avoid sensitive areas such as hyperlinked images or icons.

One would be motivated to make such a combination for the advantage of allowing help balloons and boxes to avoid obscuring related images or icons in a network setting.

Regarding claims 10 and 21, Carr teaches determining positioning of a display object by comparing an area of the object with the display area, taught as the calculation of balloon dimensions and comparing them to display dimensions, at col. 3, lines 32-34.

Regarding claim 15, Grillo teaches the use of non-modal balloons, at col. 2, lines 62-63.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-8 and 16-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Page 5

Art Unit: 2173

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Roswell whose telephone number is (703) 305-5914. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Roswell 6/21/2004

CAO (KEVIN) NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER